

LPV implementation to non-instrument runways

Carmen Aguilera, Aviation & H2020 Coordinator European GNSS Agency

Combined GA TeB & GA Sectorial Committee Cologne, June 1st 2017









Table of Contents

1. The EGNOS System

- 2. EASA Roadmap for GA
- 3. Instrument Approach Procedures to non-instrument Runways
 - IFR/SBAS benefits
 - RNP APCH basic concepts
 - VFR approach current scenario
 - New scenario Actors involved
- 4. Conclusions and way forward









EGNOS Programme Management and Service provision structure



The EGNOS System



EGNOS Safety of Life - Service Levels

EGNOS Safety of Life (SoL) Service Definition Document

Compliant with ICAO Annex 10 requirements for instrumental approaches with Vertical Guidance (APV-I) and Category I precision approaches

	Accuracy		Integrity			Continuity	Availability	EGNOS Service Level	
Typical operation	Horizontal	Vertical	Integrity	Time-	Horizontal	Vertical			
Typical operation	Accuracy 95%	Accuracy 95%		To-Alert	Alert Limit	Alert Limit			
Initial/Intermediate approach, Non-precision approach (NPA)	220 m (720 ft)	N/A	1–1x10 ^{–7} /h	10 s	556 m (0.3 NM)	N/A	1–1x10 ⁻⁴ /h to 1–1x10 ⁻⁸ /h	0.99 to 0.99999	NPA APV-I LPV-200
Approach with vertical guidance (APV-I)	16.0 m (52 ft)	20 m (66 ft)	1–2x10 ⁻⁷ /app	10 s	40 m (130 ft)	50 m (164 ft)	1-8x10 ⁻⁶ per 15 s	0.99 to 0.99999	APV-I LPV-200
Category I precision approach	16.0 m (52 ft)	6.0m to 4.0m (20 ft-13 ft)	1–2x10 ⁻⁷ /app	6 s	40 m (130 ft)	35.0m to 10.0 m (115ft-33ft)	1-8x10 ⁻⁶ per 15 s	0.99 to 0.99999	LPV-200

https://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu/new_egnos_ops/content/egnos-sdds









EGNOS APV-I commitment maps

EGNOS Service Area comprises latitudes from 20° to 70° and longitudes from -40° to 40°

• Commitment maps (based on ESR v2.4.1M in service) :



LPV-200 Availability Map

APV-I Availability Map









EGNOS SoL implementation status

- As of 27th of April 2017: 358 LPVs (316 APV-I and 42 LPV-200) serving 205 airports.
- Plans by 2018 > 440 LPV procedures planned
- Numerous LPV publications expected in UK, Sweden, Austria, Slovak Republic and Spain, as a result of GSA's Call for Grants.
- Boost expected in the incoming years due to EU Navigation strategy and EASA effort on the introduction of IFR for GA

Real-time information can be found at: <u>http://egnos-user-support.essp-sas.eu</u>







Table of Contents

- 1. The EGNOS System
- 2. EASA Roadmap for GA
- 3. Instrument Approach Procedures to non-instrument Runways
 - IFR/SBAS benefits
 - RNP APCH basic concepts
 - VFR approach current scenario
 - New scenario Actors involved
- 4. Conclusions and way forward









EASA Roadmap for GA

IFR Flying

Easier access of GA pilots to IFR rating, as a concrete measure that will improve safety.

6 Objectives we are committed

IFR Flying

Easier access of GA pilots to IFR rating, as a concrete measure that will improve safety.

Training

By end of 2018 the 3rd option for licensing will be fully developed providing a simple system for pilot training outside ATO.

Part-M 'Light'

Work towards a simpler and more proportionate framework for aircraft maintenance and license: a Part-M 'Light'.

Technology

Continue development of CS-STAN and other similar tools to enable the introduction of new technologies which contribute to safety.

Simpler Certification

Towards a simpler framework for certifying LSA aircraft in the short term by increasing the support to applicants e.g. workshops , document templates etc. in the long term by amending applicable regulations in order to bring a radical simplification.

Industry standards

Build on the improvements of CS-23/Part-23 on other CS or regulations in order for EASA to focus on its safety objectives and to delegate the preparation of associated standards to industry groups (ASTM, ASD etc.)

EASA has determined among its strategic objectives for GA the introduction of IFR procedures

....jointly with <u>new ICAO RWY</u> classification, that enables the use of IFP at non-instrument RWYs, allows GA take advantage of satellite based procedures to increase the level of safety of non-commercial operations









Table of Contents

- 1. The EGNOS System
- 2. EASA Roadmap for GA
- 3. Instrument Approach Procedures to non-instrument Runways
 - IFR/SBAS benefits
 - RNP APCH basic concepts
 - VFR approach current scenario
 - New scenario Actors involved
- 4. Conclusions and way forward









Non-instrument RWYs definition

ICAO Annex 14 Amendment 11-B (Nov 2014), EASA Opinion 03-2016:

"non-instrument runway" - a runway intended for the operation of aircraft using visual approach procedures <u>or an</u> **instrument approach procedure** to a point beyond which the approach may continue in visual meteorological conditions.

Domain	Document	Most likely scenario				
Approach	Annex 6	Classification	Type A (>= 250')	Type B CAT I CAT II CAT III (>= 200') (>= 100') (<100')	for GA:	
Operations		Method	2D	3D	✓ 3D type A approach	
		Minima	MDA/H	DA/H*	DH>=250ft	
Approach Runways	Annex 14	M(DA/H) >= VMC M(DA/H) >= 250' Visibility=1 000m DA/H >= 200'	Non Instrument RWY Non Precision Approach RWY 777 420	EU28 – 2673 airports with	 ✓ Non instrument RWY ending in VMC conditions ✓ GNSS+SBAS 	
			475 🚺 366	non-instrument RWYs	↓	
System Performance Procedures	Annex 10 PANS-OPS Vol. II	APV PA	Azimuth, GNSS GNSS/Baro/SBAS	ILS, MLS, SBAS, GBAS	EGNOS APV-I SoL Service level	

...without the need to upgrade runway infrastructure









IFR/SBAS benefits

•	Enhance Safety	IFR				
	CFIT reduction due to instrumental aids and space based vertical	guidance				
	for the approach procedure					
•	Increases airport accessibility					
	Reduction of disruptions (cancellations, diversions and delays)					
•	Reduced environmental impact					
	More direct routes, fuel consumption and noise footprints reduction	on				
•	I DV approach procedure is II S look a-like	SBAS				
	No pood of invoctments on ground infractive of the signart					
•	No need of investments on ground infrastructure at the airport					
•	Higher performances in accuracy and integrity leads to lower minima					
•	No operational limitation due to cold temperature					
•	No RAIM check					
•	EGNOS navigation service is provided to aviation users for FREE					

RNP APCH based on GNSS

RNP APCH chart



RNP APCH at Monroe County AD (UNICOM, USA) OMPKINSVILLE, KENTUCKY

OMPKINSVILLE, KENTUCK

Amdt 1B 31MAR16

✓ 3 line minima

	CATEGORY	А	В	С	D	
	LPV DA	DA 1385-1¼ 349 (400-1¼)				
l	LINAV/ DA	13	NA			
		1620-1	584 (600-1)	1620-1 ³ ⁄ ₄ 584 (600-1 ³ ⁄ ₄)	NA	
l		1 640-1 604 (700-1)	1800-1 764 (800-1)	1840-2¼ 804 (900-2¼)	NA	

- ✓ Way-points, fixed by coordinates allows a flexible IFP design
 - (FAF) DOHEP
- RNP APCH down to LPV minima is considered a 3D approach similar to ILS





AL-10286 (FAA)

16091

RNP APCH operation at non-towered AD (USA)

...the RNP APCH start within controlled airspace (Class E until 700ft AGL) with an ATC clearance from Memphis APP



CAP4294 entering in Monroe County AD vicinity at 4000ft and 7 NM from FAF asking for ATC clearance to perform RNAV (GNSS) RWY 22

...only one A/C is cleared to enter in the vicinity of the AD at the same time



OK CAP4294, Memphis APP clear to approach at Monroe County AD, remember to contact other airspace users in UNICOM freq. 123,05Mhz. Please notify when you have already landed GLASGOW AWOS-3 MEMPHIS CENTER UNICOM

•	-		
	118.525	132.9 263.1	123.05 (CTAF) 🛈
		Internation Generation	

...then the A/C enters at uncontrolled airspace (Class G), with <u>no separation from IFR/VFR aircraft, using see and</u> <u>avoid</u> and contacting other airspace users by **UNICOM** frequency and performs the IAP with SBAS-based vertical guidance (RNP APCH down to LPV minima). MET info is provided by automated systems (AWOS/ASOS)

Memphis APP, Malibu three two charlie safely on the ground, please close the IFR flight plan

...airspace free for another clearance to conduct a new IFR approach









RNP APCH - Non instrument RWY – non towered AD Current VFR scenario



RNP APCH - Non instrument RWY – non towered AD New scenario – Actors involved



UNICOM

A **UNICOM** is an aeronautical air-ground facility to provide airground and air-air communications, not addressed by EU ATS rules, intended to support GA activities. (NPA 2016-09)

- UNICOM is the most feasible solution for non-towered aerodromes, designed to fill the gap between AFIS and no aerodrome service at all.
- Each Member State shall determine, considering the conditions of each scenario the level of ATS ATC/AFIS/None (UNICOM) required in a case by case analysis. Harmonized Approach
- Airspace Class G:
 - NO ATC clearance or IFR separation is provided.
 - SERA.6001 requires flight information shall be available if requested and IFR flights equipped with air-ground communications

To keep the IFR as much as possible in controlled airspace, airspace Class E lower limit could be reduced down to a determined altitude (i.e 1000 ft) in the surroundings of the uncontrolled AD to provide ATC clearance for the approach before entering within airspace Class G











→ Guidance material



GNSS Navigation Receivers

→ GNSS receivers

ETSO-C129 /TSO-C129

Airborne supplemental navigation sensors using **GPS+RAIM**

- 1st MMR generation
- Selective availability "ON" accuracy 100m
- 6 satellites needed (or 5+baro aid).
- RNP APCH 2D
- Vertical guidance with Baro aid (LNAV/VNAV)
- ADS-B Out compliant, pre flight check needed

ETSO-C129 /TSO-C129

Airborne supplemental navigation sensors for **GPS+ABAS**

- 2nd MMR generation
- Selective availability "OFF" accuracy 15m
- 6 satellites needed (or 5+baro aid).
- RNP APCH 2D
- Vertical guidance with Baro aid (LNAV/VNAV)
- ADS-B Out compliant, pre flight check needed

ETSO-C145/146 /TSO-C129

Airborne supplemental navigation sensors using **GPS+SBAS**

- <u>3rd MMR generation</u>
- SBAS augmentation accuracy 3m+integrity
- 4 satellites needed (availability increased).
- RNP APCH down to LPV
 minima (and LNAV/VNAV)
- ADS-B Out compliant, with maximum availability
- AMC 20-24 explains SBAS provides additional capabilitites

SBAS receivers are the only guaranteeing LPV capacity and maximizes ADS-B availability witnout any other equipage/checks.

GPS+RAIM receivers need pre flight checks to ensure the availability of the IFP and Baro-aid (from an independent equipment) to perform 3D approaches









Training/AIR-OPS

EASA has launched a set of RMT to enable the use of IFR based on PBN for GA:

- EASA Easier access for general aviation pilots to instrument flight rules flying (NPA 2016-14) introduces the Basic Instrument Rating (BIR), which is a qualification to fly in Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) based on proportionate requirements tailored GA pilots
- BIR holders will be restricted on an approach procedure, down to a maximum of 500 ft above ground level (AGL) for a 3D approach, or 600 ft AGL for a 2D approach
- Declared Training Organisation EASA proposes simplified pilot training standards for leisure flying, an option to provide training for GA-related non-commercial licences outside an Approved Training Organisation (ATO)
- The most used PBN operations, and in particular RNP APCH does not longer need to hold a specific approval.









AIS – NOTAM

According AIR OPS PBN provisions, the pilot in command is required, before commencing a flight to ascertain that the space based facilities needed for the flight are available, specially the navigation aids critical for the intended PBN procedure, remarking that this information shall be obtained "by a reasonable mean".



EGNOS NOTAM proposal service



EU/ICAO provisions do not require publishing IAP Charts within national AIP <u>when there is no international traffic</u> operating at the AD

→ EASA shall provide guidance to harmonize IAP publication







Airspace design Flight Validation – Safety Assessment



Figure extracted from NPA 2016-13, Figure 1 — Interactions between airspace change process and flight procedure design process

IFP Design process:

Implementation is intended for small ADs. Some steps on the design process could drive to a non-effective cost implementation:

Safety Case:

A risk assessment involving a complete safety case within an acceptance process by the NSA (as it can be considered a *new aviation standard* according to Reg 1034/2011 Safety Oversight)

Flight validation:

Although it is not *always* required by ICAO 9906 (only ground validation is mandatory), it is commonly

conducted for new IFP.







MET/COM

"non-instrument runway" - a runway intended for the operation of aircraft using visual approach procedures or an instrument approach procedure to a point beyond which the approach may continue in <u>visual meteorological conditions.</u>

MET

- The approach is completed in VMC. A MET observer (certified?) is required to determine :
 - IMC/VMC conditions,
 - other meteorological data relevant for the operation (QNH, RVR/visibility, cloud ceiling, etc.)

...can a remote or an automated MET provider be used?

 Remote Altimeter settings are described within PANS OPS, increasing the OCA/H when the altimeter setting is derived from a source is farther than 5 NM from the RWY threshold.

Strong requirements on a MET/COM provider could difficult the results of the business case





COM

- UNICOM is based on communications between airspace users.
- A COM provider (certified?) to guarantee the service may be defined.



→ Guidance material

 \rightarrow Proportional reqs for GA





Table of Contents

- 1. The EGNOS System
- 2. EASA Roadmap for GA
- 3. Instrument Approach Procedures to non-instrument Runways
 - IFR/SBAS benefits
 - RNP APCH basic concepts
 - VFR approach current scenario
 - New scenario Actors involved

4. Conclusions and way forward









Non-instrument RWYs Conclusions

- EASA strategic objective is focused on enabling IFR operations for GA to increase the safety of the procedure
- New ICAO approach RWY classification permits the implementation of IFR approach procedures without the need of infrastructure investments.
- GNSS based operations are the most cost-effective solution to introduce IFR for GA.
- Harmonized approach with proportional requirements is required with GA stakeholders should be actively involved in EASA RMTs
- With the absence of a certified ANSP, guidance material to support the implementation of IAP at non-inst. RWY is needed:
 - To clarify all the aspects related to UNICOM operation (no ATS considered and out of the scope of SES regulation).
 - To set the agreements needed between the actors involved on the implementation.









Proposed Way-forward

Develop a Draft on Concept of Operations of EGNOS based operations at non-instrument RWYs:

- ✓ Identify the main EASA RMT involved on the implementation process
- ✓ Compile best practices in EU/USA/Australia
- ✓ Identify open issues and implementation risks/barriers
- ✓ Propose operational solutions for different scenarios
- ✓ Develop a roadmap of activities
- Share results in relevant user fora

GA community should lead the process

Contributions are welcomed











THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



Carmen Aguilera

Aviation and H2020 Coordinator, GSA

Carmen.Aguilera@gsa.europa.eu

www.gsa.europa.eu











QUESTIONS?